

The Impact of Education on Intervention: A Historical View on Poverty related Intervention Programmes and Policies in Nigeria (1960-2001)

Michael Ugochukwu JOE

Abstract

In the glory years of the newly created Nigerian State, many intervention programmes were formed to battle poverty to a minimum. However, these programmes failed to reach their desired impact. It is observed that a lack of recognition for the need of training as vital part of some of these intervention programmes as well as the absence of quality and effective educational aspects among others contributed greatly to their failures.

On the other hand, The National Directorate of Employment (NDE) and the National Poverty Eradication Programmes (NAPEP) seemed more successful and effective which could be attributed to the strong educational nature of these programmes.

This paper takes a historical view on poverty related intervention policies and programmes in Nigeria, their educative aspects and then further assesses the impact of pedagogy on these poverty reduction/eradication programmes in Nigeria between 1960 and 2005. Also an explanation on the focus and implementation of the intervention policies aimed at fighting poverty are revealed, their strengths and why they failed.

It is a known fact that when the cause of a matter is not known, lack of solution is inevitable. The fight against poverty is neither an issue of giving fish to the people nor providing them with fishing nets which has proven to be ineffective over the years, but lies in taking the time to teach the people better ways of fishing, which provides a platform on which they can also develop on their skills, broaden their knowledge through experience and be able to teach others as well.

Keywords: History, Education, Pedagogy, Intervention, Poverty alleviation programmes, Policy

1.0 Introduction

Before colonization in by the British in 1914, Ethnic groups in Nigeria had various forms of education which centred on apprenticeship and oral education. Poverty was categorically not an issue because almost every family had a trade and every one born in that family grew up learning the trade. This family trade could be farming, blacksmith, hunting, fishing, local music

etc. The gap between the haves and the have nots began to widen after the introduction of western education in Nigeria plus the mass exodus of the people from the rural villages to the cities. These therefore changed the already existing economic pattern, hence those that were not able to attain western education by reason of ignorance or lack of scholarships to help them study were left at the bottom of the pack.

The crisis of Industrial revolution in the west resulted in the need for African colonization. The colonizing power introduced a system of education that is just sufficient to raise the literacy of the colonized to a level of understanding commands in order to be servants to them. This kind of 'half education' ended up becoming the norm thus, creating the poverty situation that post-colonial governments in succession have struggled with. When the baton of leadership was eventually handed over to indigenous leaders, they were overwhelmed by the level of disorientation, illiteracy and poverty that have eaten deep into the people by reason of their lack of capacity to tackle the ravaging hunger situation in the country. Since independence from colonial rule in 1960, indigenous governments like Nigeria in successions have made efforts to eradicate poverty by introducing policies and programmes that have gained little or no success (Aibieyi & Dirisu, 2010).

Scholars attribute causes of poverty to lack of adequate employment, illiteracy, inadequate infrastructures, lack of access to credit facilities, mismanagement of public funds, bad governance, governments' instability as well as its policies. Based on research and from affirmations from the everyday Nigerians, it is acknowledged that government approaches to poverty reduction/eradication over the years have not been successful. However, there has to be a logical understanding of colonialism before there can be an understanding of the forces and processes that impacted on Nigeria's evolution. State formation in Africa especially Nigeria was imposed by external foreign bourgeoisie, consequently resulting into societies disoriented from the dominant class, while states in the west were formed by home grown dominant bourgeoisies (Orji, 2005). In light of this, solving the problem of poverty in a rich country such as Nigeria should go beyond fighting symptoms. There is a popular saying that "what a blind man needs to regain his sight is more than with the eyes". Therefore, Intervention programs in Nigeria requires more than just delivering money and advice but also a clearly structured pedagogy which will approach problem in the right way.

This issue of poverty have been empirically reviewed by a number of authors. Oshewolo (2010) called poverty a social problem that creates and sustains other problems because the means by which the poor attempts to cope with their problems could be many but with a few positive options. The negative options on the other hand when carried out could pose great treat to social order. Poverty is therefore among the strong causes of its own persistence since it contains toxic elements that constrain economic growth. Nigeria is a country endowed with wealth of various kinds that if well distributed could eradicate poverty. Therefore there is the need to empower citizens to be able to position themselves in order to become partakers of the gains of the economy. These efforts cannot be attained by business as usual, it will require efforts such as education within intervention programs (Oshewolo, 2010).

According to Depaepe (2004), a good historical research requires that we approach the representational structures of the group (Depaepe, 2004). Therefore, in this expository research, a pragmatic historical inquiry was developed within the history of education, thereby, examining hunger related intervention programmes as they were implemented. Also, in agreement with the words of Depaepe (2012); “when a researcher looks at contextualized reality from the perspective of educational historiography, he/she sees that the images speak for themselves” (2012, p. 495), thus this study therefore, critically analyses, intervention measures put in place by the Nigerian government to combat the hunger and poverty that emanated as consequences of colonial ‘half education’ and how these programs have impacted on the citizenry. In other to effectively investigate and conduct a fundamental inquiry on the extent of impact of these intervention programs, it is the aim of this study to unveil the pedagogical and operational deficiencies associated with the performance of these pr.

2.0 Intervention Programmes/Policies to Fight Poverty (1960-2001)

2.1 National Accelerated Food Production Programme (NAFPP)

National Accelerated Food Production Programme (NAFPP) is the first economic related intervention program in Nigeria. Created in 1972 by the Yakubu Gowon led military government. At this particular time in Africa’s history, agricultural productivity in the Sub-Saharan Africa was especially depressing. This bleak performance resulted into acute food shortage the Africa The disappointing agricultural output was birthed through Geopolitical instability resulting from freedom struggles, civil wars and consequently refugee crisis

(Hinderink & Sterkenburg, 1983). NAFPP came two years after the Nigerian civil war. Impoverished by the demise of civil war, this program wouldn't have come in any better time. This program as well as the Nigerian Agricultural and Cooperative Bank (NACB) in 1973 was designed principally to fund agriculture. National Accelerated Food Production Programme (NAFPP) was intended to make Nigeria self-sufficient in the production of food. NAFPP scheme integrated research, extension and agro-services to help improve farm services. Activities were directed at promoting the adoption of improved farming by providing farm input delivery systems to local farmers.

The educational aspect of NAFPP targeted at teaching farmers on improved ways of going about their farm operations. Pedagogy focused on: the use of high-yielding seed varieties; the use of chemical seed-dressing; the use of chemical fertilizer; time-of-planting; plant spacing; time-of-weeding; planting position; time-of-harvesting; the use of hired tractor services; the use of modern farm processing facilities and the use of modern storage facilities (Akinola, 1986). NAFPP recorded tremendous success through pedagogy. This agricultural based intervention pedagogy became necessary because it played a vital role in stimulating economic growth and development in the 1950s, 1960s and 1970s with majority of the Nigeria people participating in one form of agriculture or the other (Anthony, 2010). This program was initiated with good intentions but with the wrong policy. The setback experienced came from corruption and poor management in the part of the facilitators. Over the years NAFPP's lack of success have rendered it a massive waste of resources (Raheem, Ayeni, Fashedemi, & others, 2014)

2.2 Operation Feed the Nation (OFN)

Operation Feed the Nation (OFN) was an Intervention programme targeted at eradicating hunger by means of making food more available to the poor. The inability of the agricultural sector of the Nigerian economy to satisfactorily produce enough food for citizens' consumption led to the launching of OFN by the then Federal Military Government. OFN was implemented in 1976 by the Obasanjo led military administration. Events that led to the implementation of OFN were extreme living conditions that made this intervention a matter of urgency. The Civil war of 1966 to 1970 that devastated the South East and South-South region. Drought that was threatening the norther region, and gross shortage in basic food supply to the Nigerian citizens.

OFN came up with policies that included more elaborated educational aspect when compared with the previous programme and policy. Raheem et al. (2014) wrote: “OFN expended more money and efforts in getting ill-prepared university graduates to go to the rural areas to teach the peasant farmers how to farm. The irony of the programme is that theoretical farming graduates were teaching farmers, who makes their living on farming on how to farm. The scheme’s only success was in creating awareness of food shortages and the need to tackle the problem” (p. 43). According to Anyanwu (1978), the objectives of Operation Feed the Nation (OFN) when it was launched in 1976 were to mobilize the nation towards self-sufficiency and self-reliance in food production, to encourage the sector of the community relying on food purchase to grow its own food, to encourage general pride in agriculture and to encourage balanced nutrition (Anyanwu, 1978). The policy of OFN was intended to increase food production by empowering small farmers and encouraging as many citizens as possible to participate in a form of farming activities.

Adult education was to play a vital part in the success of OFN. This pedagogical aspect was meant to prepare the ground for an effective introduction of technology into Nigerian farms. Indigenous technology development, and providing adequate inputs at low cost, were additional requirements (Anyanwu, C. N., 1978).

2.3 Green Revolution (GR)

Green Revolution as a concept began in the 1950s by capitalist states. At that time, the capitalist and the communist political spheres were involved in a rancorous struggle to sell their political ideology and benefits to the underdeveloped world. Therefore, to counter other neo-colonialists strategies of political and military revolution, the capitalists promoted Green Revolution as part of their universal food package to poor countries in South America and Africa. (Orji, 2005). Green Revolution (GR) was embraced by Nigerian government and was launched in 1979 by the Shehu Shagari administration in an effort to come up with a better intervention policy. GR came with almost the same idea as OFN, emphasising on agriculture and food production. GR’s objectives were; to reduce dependence on imported food while boosting food crop production in Nigeria and introducing mechanized farming. Green Revolution made efforts to improve on the policies of Operation feed the Nation by introducing mechanized farming. However it lacked the right pedagogical aspect and was plagued by corruption at every level of its execution thus

resulting into a failed project with colossal amount of money gone down the drain (Raheem et al., 2014). GR was trailed by the same monster of poor performance as OFN. At the end in 1983 about two billion naira was wasted (Madugba, 2000). GB was abolished when Gen. Mohammad Buhari and Brig. Tunde Idiagbon seized power in 2003.

2.4 The National Directorate of employment (NDE)

From the time of independence from the British colonial rule in 1960 until the 1980s, unemployment was not a serious problem. This changed at some point in the 1980s as a result of happenings such as; global recession, inherent weakness in the nation's economy, strong fall in oil prices, and the subsequent poor economic policies. This resulted into closure of some industries followed by massive lay-offs. Orji (2005), describing this situation wrote; "West Africa is no more the favourite of international funding agencies. It has instead become a neglected, dangerous terrain for foreign investors. Progressively this new position of foreign companies, agencies and governments has led to capital flight and its attendant problems of low productivity and operational inefficiency in most of the productive sectors" (p. 24). This decrease in employment chances as well as more people losing their jobs was seen by the Babangida led administration as a great danger to the nation's socio-economic stability.

The National Directorate of employment (NDE) was instituted to design and implement programmes that provide employment. The scheme was designed to include four-prolonged pedagogical approach which are: Vocational Acquisition Training, Entrepreneurial training, Training for Rural Employment and Training for Labour-Based works programme. The Babangida regime (1985 – 1993) came up with several other intervention programs that focused on infrastructure but were without educational aspects. Directorate of Food Roads and Rural Infrastructure (DFRFRI) was intended to open up rural communities by means of road constructions and basic amenities provision to boost food production. The Nigerian Agricultural Land Development Authority (NALDA) was established to encourage large scale commercial farming by assisting famers with subsidies of various kinds. The Peoples bank (PB) sought to make soft loans available to prospective entrepreneurs without collaterals. PB operated as community banks that were intended to be closer to those that need these cheap loans the most (Raheem et al., 2014).

A record number of youths gained from the NDE scheme. The schemes' reaching out and touching the lives of millions of youths put it on the top echelon among the successful economic based intervention initiatives ever implemented in Nigeria (Raheem et al., 2014). Awogbenle & Iwuamadi (2010) reveals that skill acquisition and employment generation through pedagogical approach remained a focal point. (Awogbenle & Iwuamadi, 2010)

NDE was a scheme that sought to drastically alleviate poverty by means of pure pedagogical approach, therefore, directly addressing the problem of illiteracy and lack of skills. The Directorate was inaugurated in 1986 with a fundamental philosophy of preference to self-standing enterprise. NDE approached poverty alleviation in four core programmes which are: Vocational Skills Development Programme (VSDP), Special Public Works (SPW), Small Scale Enterprises (SSE) and Rural Employment Promotion Programme (RPP). Vocational Skills Development Programme which is the directorate's core programme centred on skill acquisition consisting of 80 trades and was implemented via its four ancillary schemes namely; The National Open Apprenticeship Scheme (NOAS), The School on Wheel Scheme, The Wealth-to-Waste Scheme, The Resettlement Scheme (Oyeranti & Olayiwola, 2005).

Of all the intervention approaches by the Babangida regime, NDE appears to be the most successful and is still functional to this day. NDE has become the most successful poverty alleviation program of all times by reason of its well-articulated pedagogical nature. NDE was so successful that Gen. Sani Abacha's government could not abolish it. While other interventions seek to rain incentives and funding on beneficiaries, NDE simply took the right approach focusing on pedagogy and training. According to Owualah (1999), Firms achieved an appreciable growth in all areas because of the pedagogical nature of NDE (Owualah, 1999).

2.5 Better Life Programme (BLP)

The Better Life for Rural Women Programme was established in September 1987 by the then first lady of Nigeria, Maryam Babangida. With the intent of enhancing poor rural women's standing, it was implemented by the National Commission for Women (then upgraded to the Federal Ministry for Women's Affairs and Social Development). The facilitators realized that there is need to expand the programme's scope to include urban women and cooperatives that involved men. Consequently, the name was changed from Better Life Programme for Rural

Women to Better Life Programme (BLP). BLP was also designed to promote the declaration of women by the US government in 1975.

BLP aimed at: inspiring rural women towards achieving better living standards and furthermore alerting the rest of the Nigerian population to the problems of women, educating local village women on simple hygiene, family planning, the importance of child-care and increased literacy rates, organising women to be able to improve their life and to seek and achieve leadership roles in the society they live in, inspiring enriched family life experience for women and training them to develop the spirit of self-development, particularly in the fields of education, business, the arts, crafts and agriculture (Orji, 2005) (Oyeranti & Olayiwola, 2005).

Mariam Babangida turned her ceremonial post of Nigerian's first lady into a potent force for the development of women especially those in rural communities. With the launch of BLP, many co-operatives, cottage industries, farms and gardens, shops and markets, women's centres and social welfare programmes were established. Rural women were also empowered by educational schemes that comprises: adult education, primary health care, agriculture, crafts and food processing (Uba & Halim, 2010).

This program was a particularly expensive poverty eradication programme. Headed by the first lady herself and ran by elite women, there happened to be minimal involvement of rural women for which the program was intended for in its decision making. Lack of the required adequate pedagogical aspect rendered BLP too conservative and neglecting gender issues which is the fundamental challenges these rural women face. Also, emphasizing traditional women roles renders BLP insensitive to the real plight of these women whose lives are supposed to be improved (Bola, 1995). This program struggled with its educating aspect. Training was supposed to be the bedrock of BLP, nevertheless emphasis shifted to other area. Scholars see BLP as a total failure. Mariam ended up accumulating personal fortune out of BLP officials and friends, thereby turning better life for rural women to better life for rich women (Madugba, 2000).

2.6 Family Support Programme (FSP)

Family Support Programme (FSP) was launched in (1994) by Miriam Abacha, the wife of the then Military Head of State General Sani Abacha. FSP was designed to empower women thus

introducing a gender element to intervention programs. FSP organisers believe that women are important in households' and their empowering means directly raising the standard of living of families in Nigeria (Raheem et al., 2014). This programme was necessary to improve previous experiences of women in similar programmes by broadening policies. FSP was a shift of policy driven by the role of family in national development, principally as it effects very important social sectors such as health, education and economic empowerment (Orji, 2005).

The objectives of FSP were: "to improve and sustain the family life experience of Nigerians through the promotion of social and economic well-being of the family, to promote human right programmes and policies, to reduce maternal and child mortality and morbidity rate by promoting decent health care delivery, and also alert the government on matters relating to living condition of the masses. The educational aspect of FSP involved carrying out public enlightenment campaigns to sensitise communities on human decency, civil responsibility and concern for the welfare of the disadvantaged". Sponsoring media captions, news talk, television and radio discussions were among the implementation strategies of FSP. FSP established clubs and societies to positively touch rural family-life by means of loan, trainings, organizing workshops, seminars, symposia, public lectures and training schemes (Orji, 2005).

Major setbacks encountered by FSP were the lack of properly outlined policy framework and too much political interference and poor implementations of its well-meaning educational aspect. As with other programmes of this type, it was plagued with corruption and lacked continuity (Raheem et al., 2014). FSP became a gold-mine for the Abacha family. Activities and policies were meticulously put in place to enrich themselves (Madugba, 2000). Nevertheless, she made a lot of noise with her FSP, yet made little to no achievements with it (Uba & Halim, 2010).

2.7 Family Economic Advancement Programme (FEAP)

The Abacha government in an effort to create a more encompassing socio-economic poverty alleviation programme centred intervention, the Family Economic Advancement Programme (FEAP) was designed to help stimulate economic activities (Oyeranti & Olayiwola, 2005). FEAP was established in 1998 as an empowerment intervention programme to boost the performance of local entrepreneurs involved in production of goods and services. Coming at a time when Nigeria was ranked among the 25 poorest nations of the world, FEAP was targeted at

low income families, people directly engaged in agriculture and co-operative societies. This programme was intended to benefit its target group in various ways. There was an expectation that this intervention will bring the Nigerian societies to enjoy improvement in food production thereby causing severe reduction in imported food, enhancing opportunities for exportation of local produced foods, creating rural job opportunities in that way reducing rural-urban migration. Through the pedagogical approach of agricultural extension, people directly engaged in agriculture were expected to experience to a reasonable extent waste reduction as well as improved waste management through better approaches and methods in food processing, recycling, preservation, storage, packaging, and marketing of farm produce (Raheem et al., 2014).

Beneficiaries were able to acquire loans, and other incentives but were not properly educated on the innovative land use pattern. Though beneficiaries temporarily out performed non beneficiaries in term of production due to government help, their efficiency was very poor due to the lack of adequate education on how to optimise recourses available to them. This program failed after two years (Raheem et al., 2014).

2.8 The Poverty Alleviation Programme (PAP)

The Poverty Alleviation Programme (PAP) was set up in 2000 by the Obasanjo lead Government as an interim program to address the issue of rising crime wave caused by unemployment. PAP's objectives were primarily to reduce crime by means of creating jobs and increasing the productivity of the economy (Orji, 2005).

PAP was established with a holistic orientation that could have reduced unemployment if properly executed. Before the target 200,000 idle youths can successfully gain employment, they have to be employable. Therefore educational aspect was the bedrock of this intervention. PAP was linked to a national government agency 'Public Works Employment' hence giving it a political connotation, and as a result of that, it never achieved its full objectives because of political rivalry. States led by opposition parties blatantly fought PAP's activities in their states thus, making it a tool of battle against the ruling party. PAP gave way to a more permanent and encompassing programme named National Poverty Eradication Programmes (NAPEP) (Orji, 2005).

2.9 National Poverty Eradication Programmes (NAPEP)

With the mandate to streamline and rationalize existing poverty alleviation programmes, Agencies and ministries, the constitution of the Ahmed Joda Panel in 1999 and the Ango Abdullahi Committee in 2000 led to the emergence of National Poverty Eradication Programmes (NAPEP) and National Poverty eradication Council (NAPEC) (Oyeranti & Olayiwola, 2005). NAPEP kicked off in 2001 and formed a central coordination point for all anti-poverty efforts at all levels of government. When Obasanjo led democratically elected civilian government came to power, NAPEP was used as an instrument with which to declare war against poverty. It was complemented by NAPEC which co-ordinated poverty actions of relevant ministries, parastatals and agencies (Orji, 2005). Raheem et al., (2014) claimed this government is the only government to embark on poverty eradication instead of poverty alleviation and as such, the programme was also non sector driven (Raheem et al., 2014). Obasanjo's coming back to power as a democratically elected civilian president after 30 years of leaving office as a military head of state was very good because he seemed to have a better understanding of Nigeria's poverty syndrome. Besides he did not relent in putting up a more fierce fight against it, thus making NAPEP a more permanent program.

NAPEP implemented the participatory bottom-up approach in programme implementation and monitoring and also provided a rational framework, which lays emphasis on appropriate and sustainable institutional arrangement while maintaining inter-ministerial and inter-agency co-operation. It provided capacity building in form of educational trainings and youth empowerment (Orji, 2005).

Under NAPEP, the relevant government institutions carried out poverty eradication activities as Youth Empowerment Scheme (YES), Capacity Acquisition Programme (CAP), Rural Infrastructures Development Scheme (RIDS), Social Welfare Services Scheme (SOWESS) and Natural Resource Development and Conservation Scheme (NRDCS). YES dealt with Educational. capacity acquisition programmes, credit delivery and enterprises promotion while RIDs concerned itself with putting the right utilities and infrastructures in place by providing portable drinking water, irrigation system, rural transportation, energy and power support. SOWESS on the other hand dealt with special education, primary health care, and food security, and micro and macro credit facilities, rural telecommunication. NRDCS had to do with land

conservation and space, agricultural, water and solid minerals (Raheem et al., 2014) (Orji, 2005). Of all these programs however, NAPEP's major success came under its Capacity Acquisition Programme (CAP) (Raheem et al., 2014). The outstanding performance of CAP by reason of its educational approach of proved above reasonable doubts that educational aspect should be a major part of any intervention program.

3.0 Conclusion

National Accelerated Food Production Programme was initiated with good intentions but with a policy that lacked educational aspect. It failed completely and did not achieve its aim. This led to the coming of Operation feed the nation project to correct the mistakes of the previous programme. The OFN project included an educational programme that was meant to prepare the ground for an effective introduction of technology into Nigerian farms. The challenges faced by this project was that the facilitators were too theoretical in their ideas and the local famers see it as unrealistic. This was the result of unexperienced graduates trying to teach experienced local farmers on how to farm. OFN's failure was as a result of pedagogy not properly articulated and executed. The Green Revolution made efforts to improve on the policies of Operation feed the Nation by introducing mechanized farming, but also lacked the right pedagogical approach. Better Life Programme policies were magnificent on paper as they aimed to educating local village women and also training them in the fields of education, business, the arts, crafts and agriculture. Unfortunately, it was later revealed that this programme was a tool for embezzlement of public fund. Family Support Programmes was BLP in a different name and was plagued with the same disease as BLP. Though beneficiaries of FEAP temporarily out performed non beneficiaries in term of production due to government help, but their efficiency was very poor due to the lack of adequate education on how to optimise resources available to them. Despite the solid education and training aspects of Poverty Eradication Programme, it failed within one year of its launch because it was highly politicised and it became an instrument of war in the hands of rival political parties.

The National Directorate of Employment (NDE) and the National Poverty Eradication Programmes (NAPEP) appear to be the most successful interventions and are still functional to this day. Studies have shown that the success of these policies came by reason of the well-articulated pedagogical nature of these programmes. Oyeranti and Olayiwola (2005) observed

that an examination of certain indicators show that most poverty alleviation related interventions have had trifling impact in addressing the issues they were established to solve. There is a general consideration as to the fact that these measures were either poorly implemented or had no particular focus on the supposed target group (Oyeranti & Olayiwola, 2005). Innocent (2014) stated that; “as lofty as all poverty alleviation programmes may appear, they have not been able to fundamentally mitigate widespread poverty in Nigeria as indicated by the alarming revelations by various domestic and international agencies such as the National Bureau of Statistics and the World Bank”(p. 7).

Oloyede (2014) pointed out that the inability of the Nigerian government to design and implement effective and efficient poverty eradication programmes is as a result of their myopic definition of poverty as is experienced among the people. Poverty should be viewed from a multidimensional position. Concerned international agencies such as world bank have stressed that poverty need to be seen from directions that would enable a comprehensive approach (Oloyede, 2014). Subsequent governments simply abandon intervention programmes initiated by their predecessors, thereby, introducing different ideas or no idea at all. These became more ‘government specific’ because there was barely any continuity with those initiated by previous governments. Within the frame work of potential efforts and strategies to boost employment and job creation, pedagogy inevitably creates the right platform for any intervention.

Ajakaiye (2003), Jega (2003) and Obadan (2011) unequivocally pointed out the most important underlying factor that has constrained the effectiveness of these programmes “poor human capital development” which is pedagogy within these programmes. If the issue of lack of educational aspect of these programmes is resolved, the programmes will be successful at the end even if other aspect have challenges (Ajakaiye, 2003), (Jega, 2003), (Obadan, 1996). Unemployment and lack of education are always at the top of the chart amongst others when poverty causes are listed, thus bringing us to a critical investigation that reveals the fact that every other cause of poverty listed by scholars are direct consequences of lack of education. The right definition of a problem enables the implementation of the right programme in an effort towards solving it. Through frequent discussions with the poor in Nigeria, it becomes very clear that education for sustainable development is all that is needed. When poverty eradication programme is void of an effective pedagogical aspect, it is bound to fail notwithstanding the

volume of resources invested in it. Knowing fully well that one of the primary causes of poverty is lack of education, this work concludes by suggesting solutions, which include policies and programmes with great pedagogical dimensions that will be geared towards meaningful development which the government should design and meticulously implement. It should be an education for all programme.

References

- Aibieyi, S., & Dirisu, E. O. (2010). National Poverty Eradication Programmes in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects. *Lwati: A Journal of Contemporary Research*, 7(2).
<http://doi.org/10.4314/lwati.v7i2.57550>
- Ajakaiye, O. (2003). Public Service and the Challenges of Managing Poverty Eradication in Nigeria. Presented at the the 2003 Retreat for Permanent Secretaries and Directors in the Federal Civil Service of the Federation, Abuja.
- Akinola, A. (1986). Farmers' Participation in the National Accelerated Food Production Project (NAFPP) in Nigeria. *Commonwealth Secretariat, Marlborough House, London SW1Y 5HX, Great Britain*.
- Alinno, F. C., Ikwegbe, D., & others. (2012). Rural Economic Development: Policy Implementation in Nigeria. *Kuwait Chapter of the Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 2(2), 14.
- Anthony, E. (2010). Agricultural credit and economic growth in Nigeria: An empirical analysis. *Business and Economics Journal*, 14, 1–7.
- Anyanwu, C. N. (1978). Adult education and the 'Operation Feed the Nation' programme in Nigeria. *Adult Education and Development*, 10, 20–25.
- Awogbenle, A. C., & Iwuamadi, K. C. (2010). Youth unemployment: Entrepreneurship development programme as an intervention mechanism. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(6), 831–835.
- Bola, U. I. (1995). Better Life for Rural Women Programme: An Agenda for Positive Change? *Africa Development: A Quarterly Journal of CODESRIA*, 20(4), 69–84.
- Depaepe, M. (2004). How should the history of education be written? Some reflections about the nature of the discipline from the perspective of thereception of our work. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, 23(5-6), 333–345.

- Depaepe, M. (2012). *Between educationalization and appropriation selected writings on the history of modern educational systems*. Leuven, Belgium: Leuven University Press.
- Hinderink, J., & Sterkenburg, J. (1983). Agricultural Policy and Production in Africa: The Aims, the Methods, and the Means. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, 21(1), 1–23.
- Innocent, A. P. (2014). Poverty Alleviation Strategies and Governance in Nigeria Alfa Patrick Innocent; Otaida Eikojonwa 2 & Audu Enojo 3. Retrieved from <http://rcmss.com/2014/IJPAMR-VOI2-No2/Poverty%20Alleviation%20Strategies%20and%20Governance%20in%20Nigeria.pdf>
- Iyam, D. U. (1995). *The Broken Hoe: Cultural Reconfiguration in Biase Southeast Nigeria*. University of Chicago Press.
- Jega, A. M. (2003). Public Service and the Challenges of Managing Poverty Eradication in Nigeria. Presented at the Retreat for Permanent Secretaries and Directors in the Federal Civil Service of the Federation, Abuja.
- Madugba, A. (2000, September 9). Alleviating Poverty in Nigeria. Retrieved 22 November 2015, from http://www.afbis.com/analysis/alleviating__poverty.htm
- Obadan, M. I. (1996). Poverty in Nigeria: Characteristics, Alleviation Strategies and Programmes. *NCEMA Policy Analysis Series.*, 2(2).
- Oloyede, B. B. (2014). EFFECT OF POVERTY REDUCTION PROGRAMMES ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (Oman Chapter)*, 4(1), 26.
- Orji, J. I. (2005). An Assessment of Impacts of Poverty Reduction Programmes in Nigeria as a Development Strategy, 1970-2005. *Unpublished Ph. D Dissertation, St. Clements University, Turks and Caicos Island*. Retrieved from <http://www.stclements.edu/grad/gradorji.pdf>
- Oshewolo. (2010). Galloping Poverty in Nigeria: An Appraisal of Government Interventionist Policies. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*.
- Owualah, S. L. (1999). Tackling Youth Unemployment through Entrepreneurship. *International Small Business Journal*, 17(3), 49–59. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0266242699173003>

- Oyeranti, O., & Olayiwola, K. (2005). Policies and programmes for poverty reduction in rural Nigeria. *Nairobi: African Economic Research Consortium*. Retrieved from http://www.sarpn.org/documents/d0002274/Poverty_reduction_Nigeria_Oct2005.pdf
- Raheem, S., Ayeni, J. O., Fashedemi, A. O., & others. (2014). Easing the ‘Disease’ of Poverty in Nigeria. *Developing Country Studies*, 4(19), 55–66.
- Uba, J., & Halim, K. (2010, July 7). NIGERIAN EX-FIRST LADIES AND THEIR PET PROJECTS : Nigerian Latest News Papers News Online. Retrieved from <http://www.nigerianbestforum.com/blog/nigerian-ex-first-ladies-and-their-pet-projects/>